

Children of Substance Abusers: Overview of Research Findings

1. [Jeannette L. Johnson](#), PhD^{*},
2. [Michelle Leff](#), MD[‡]

[±](#) Author Affiliations

1. *From the ^{*}Department of Psychiatry, Division of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland; and the*
2. *[‡]Department of Psychiatry, Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.*

[Next Section](#)

Abstract

A relationship between parental substance abuse and subsequent alcohol problems in their children has been documented extensively. Children of alcoholics (COAs) are considered to be at high risk because there is a greater likelihood that they will develop alcoholism compared with a randomly selected child from the same community. COAs and children of other drug-abusing parents are especially vulnerable to the risk for maladaptive behavior because they have combinations of many risk factors present in their lives. The single most potent risk factor is their parent's substance-abusing behavior. This single risk factor can place children of substance abusers at biologic, psychologic, and environmental risk.

Since the turn of the century, many reports have described the deleterious influence of parental alcoholism on their children. A series of studies measured mortality, physiology, and general health in the offspring of alcoholic parents and concluded that when mothers stopped drinking during gestation, their children were healthier. Today, research on COAs can be classified into studies of fetal alcohol syndrome, the transmission of alcoholism, psychobiologic markers of vulnerability, and psychosocial characteristics. Each of these studies hypothesizes that differences between COAs and children of nonalcoholics influence maladaptive behaviors later in life, such as academic failure or alcoholism. This research supports the belief that COAs are at risk for a variety of problems that may include behavioral, psychologic, cognitive, or neuropsychologic deficits.

The vast literature on COAs far outweighs the literature on children of other drug abusers. Relatively little is known about children of heroin addicts, cocaine abusers, or polydrug abusers. Nonetheless, many researchers suggest that the children of addicted parents are at greater risk for later dysfunctional behaviors and that they, too, deserve significant attention to prevent intergenerational transmission of drug abuse. Most research on children of other drug abusers examines fetal exposure to maternal drug abuse.

The overview of the research on children of substance abusers points toward the need for better, longitudinal research in this area. Most studies on COAs or other drug abusers are not longitudinal; they examine behavior at one point in time. Given the studies reviewed in this article, it is unclear whether we see true deficits or developmental delay. Longitudinal studies will allow us to predict when early disorders and behavioral deviations will be transient or when they will be precursors to more severe types of maladaptive behavior. Longitudinal research also will enable us to explain specific childhood outcomes. Differences in outcome could be studied simultaneously to understand whether antecedents discovered for one are specific to it or are general antecedents leading to a broad variety of outcomes.

Addiction to alcohol and other drugs is a serious problem in the United States. Approximately 10% of American adults and 3% of adolescents in the United States are addicted to alcohol or other drugs.¹ As a society, we should be concerned about the rates of alcohol and drug use among our adolescent population. Determining why one adolescent is more vulnerable than another to drug use has been an area of research spanning the past 2 decades. Reviews of the literature on risk factors associated with drug and alcohol abuse in children or adolescents implicate many factors, such as childhood personality, hyperactivity, antisocial traits, stress, and interpersonal risk factors including low academic performance and commitment and associations with substance-using peers.²⁻⁵

A relationship between parental substance abuse and subsequent alcohol problems in their children has been documented extensively,⁶⁻⁹ although some have found that parental substance abuse is not directly related to their children's substance-using behavior.¹⁰ Several researchers have found that teenagers are more likely to drink and use drugs if their parents drink and/or use drugs.¹¹⁻¹³ Kandel and associates¹³ found that 82% of drinking families raise youth that also drink, and that 72% of families who abstain raise youth who also abstain. Annis¹¹ found that a same-sex, same-use pattern seems to exist. Mothers and daughters have similar patterns of substance abuse (mostly tranquilizers and painkillers), and fathers and sons share their choice in drugs (usually alcohol and cigarettes). Coombs and Dickson¹² found that the substance abuse behavior of both the mother and the father influenced their children's substance abuse behavior. Mothers and fathers of substance-abusing youth tended to drink and to use other drugs more often and more heavily. Chassin and Barrera¹⁴ explored substance use among adolescents over a 3-year period in 246 adolescent children of alcoholics (COAs) and 208 children of nonalcoholics. They noted important developmental differences in the use of alcohol and drugs among COAs. Older adolescent COAs showed steep escalations in drug use. Younger COAs showed escalations in alcohol and other drug use if their fathers had experienced alcohol-related consequences. If fathers did not experience alcohol-related consequences to their drinking, COAs showed a strong relationship between substance use and self-control reasons for limiting drinking. The research by Chassin and colleagues¹⁴ also has shown other mediating mechanisms involved in adolescent substance use among COAs. She suggests that substance use among adolescent COAs is mediated through stress and negative affect pathways, decreased parental monitoring, and increased temperamental emotionality. These results have been supported partially by other research.¹⁵ Overall, parental alcohol abuse has been determined to be a risk factor for their children's subsequent use.

[Previous Section](#)[Next Section](#)

RISK FACTORS

Children are labeled “at risk” for many different reasons. These “risk factors” are presumed to increase the likelihood of future maladaptation and can be environmental (eg, high or low socioeconomic status), biologic (eg, inheritance of a gene predisposing toward a disease state), or psychologic (eg, low self-esteem). With a rich tradition extending several decades, the high-risk paradigm has been used to study children at risk for a variety of problems related to their parents' depression, psychopathology, or substance use. COAs are considered to be at high risk because there is a greater likelihood that they will develop alcoholism compared with randomly selected children from the same community.¹⁶ It is important to note, however, that research findings that identify risk factors suggest that these factors are associated with increased risk and do not necessarily constitute a causal relationship.

Children of alcoholic and other drug-abusing parents appear to be especially vulnerable to the risk for maladaptive behavior because they have combinations of many risk factors present in their life. The single most potent risk factor is their parent's substance-abusing behavior; this single risk factor can place children of substance abusers at biologic, psychologic, and environmental risk. Evidence suggests that the inheritance of a predisposition to alcoholism is specific and separate from the predisposition toward other types of drug abuse.¹⁷ The evidence for the inheritance of a predisposition to other kinds of substance abuse is less clear, and there is evidence both for and against this notion.

In this review, we examine some of the research both on COAs and on children of other substance abusers. Parental substance abuse and its subsequent effects on their children are great. The Children of Alcoholics Foundation¹⁸ estimates that there are 28.6 million Americans alive today who were raised in homes where one parent was alcoholic. The number of children younger than 18 years currently living with an alcoholic parent are estimated to total 11 to 17.5 million.⁹ There are few prevalence estimates about the number of children who live in homes where drug abuse, other than alcoholism, occurs.

[Previous Section](#)[Next Section](#)

COAs

Previous Reviews of the Literature

Since the turn of the century, many reports have described the deleterious influence of parental alcoholism on their children.¹⁹⁻²² A series of studies published in London in 1910 measured mortality, physiology, and general health in the offspring of alcoholic parents and concluded that when mothers stopped drinking during gestation, their children were healthier.²³⁻²⁵ Much of the research on COAs has been reviewed extensively by others.²⁶⁻⁴⁰ No two authors have classified the research in quite the same way; it is evident, however, that there are a great many ways in which to approach the field. Overall, the research indicates that there is considerable heterogeneity within the COA population and that differences between COAs and children of nonalcoholics are not always substantial with respect to many of the individual risk factors. This

may be attributable to the subtypes of COAs and substance abusers. For example, many children have differing numbers of risk factors present in their lives (some children live with more risk factors than do others), and the cumulative effects of multiple risk factors are associated with later behavioral outcomes.

We separate research on COAs into studies of 1) the fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS); 2) the transmission of alcoholism; 3) psychobiologic markers of vulnerability; and 4) psychosocial characteristics. These studies hypothesize that differences between COAs and children of nonalcoholics influence maladaptive behaviors in COAs later in life, such as academic failure or alcoholism. This research supports the belief that COAs are at risk for a variety of problems, which may include behavioral, psychologic, cognitive, or neuropsychologic deficits.

FAS

First described in the medical literature by Jones and Smith,⁴¹ FAS is a cluster of four characteristics found in the offspring of mothers who drank excessively during pregnancy, namely, central nervous system dysfunction, abnormal facial features, behavioral deficits, and growth deficiency. Many studies of infants born to alcoholic mothers report strong relationships between in utero alcohol use and later childhood problems such as minor physical anomalies, hyperactivity, mental retardation, and electroencephalographic (EEG) abnormalities.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ One study of 322 newborn infants showed a frequency of physical abnormalities twice as high among children of mothers who were heavy drinkers as among children of mothers who were not heavy drinkers.⁴⁶

Longitudinal studies of infants exposed to alcohol abuse in utero have shown the lasting effects of their exposure. A large longitudinal study in Seattle, WA, involving 1529 white, middle-class, pregnant women and their offspring revealed that 12% of the infants of mothers who were heavy drinkers exhibited features of altered growth and morphogenesis, compared with only 2% of children of mothers with lower levels of alcohol ingestion.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹

Transmission of Alcoholism

There are many studies that support a genetic theory of alcoholism transmission, dating from Amark⁵⁰ to the present. Goodwin^{51,52} reports a 25% prevalence rate of alcoholism among male relatives of alcoholics, which exceeds the estimated population prevalence for male alcoholics of 3% to 5%. The prevalence of alcoholism among female relatives of alcoholics is 5% to 10%, which also exceeds the estimated population prevalence for female alcoholics (0.1%–1%). Researchers agree that the genetic model of alcoholism is multifactorial. Schuckit and colleagues⁵³⁻⁵⁸ explain that both genetic heterogeneity and environmental influences combine in an unknown manner, placing some people at high risk and others not at risk for developing alcohol abuse or dependence. In high-risk individuals, Schuckit describes a decreased response to alcohol as a genetic risk factor. Tarter⁵⁹ discusses temperament traits interacting with environmental contingencies that increase one's risk for alcoholism. Heath and colleagues⁶⁰ note the relationship between personality and temperament and cardiac responsivity in high-risk children. Wiers and associates⁶¹ posit two different pathways for COAs. They suggest that the child of a multigenerational, primary alcoholic parent may suffer from an inherited mild

dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex, leading to neuropsychologic and personality characteristics similar to those of the alcoholic parent. The child of a secondary alcoholic parent may be subject to stress and social learning that may lead to negative affectivity and repressive coping style, leading to substance abuse or dependence.

Studies of Twins

Several researchers have studied the genetic predisposition to alcoholism in identical and fraternal twins in whom at least one of each twin pair was an alcoholic. Because identical twins share the same genes and fraternal twins do not, a higher level of alcoholism among identical twins would support a heritable basis of alcoholism. Many studies typically demonstrate that the frequency of alcoholism in monozygotic (MZ) twins is higher compared with that in dizygotic (DZ) twins.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Pickens and colleagues⁶⁵ studied both MZ and DZ male and female twin pairs. They noted a significant MZ/DZ concordance in male twins for alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and other substance abuse and/or dependence. For the female twin pairs, there was only a MZ/DZ concordance for alcohol dependence.

Findings from other studies of twins indicating high concordance of alcoholism among MZ twins (and thus a genetic basis for alcoholism) are contradicted by the study of 902 male twins in Finland.⁶⁶ This study showed no statistically significant differences in alcoholism rates between identical and fraternal twins. It did indicate, however, that the frequency and amount of drinking was significantly similar for identical than for fraternal twins. In a study of 3810 twin pairs from Australia, Heath⁶⁰ showed important genetic influences for frequency and quantity dimensions. Findings from other studies of twins are shown in [Table 1](#).

View this table:

- [In this window](#)
- [In a new window](#)

Table 1.

Summary of Studies

Adoption Studies

Examining children born to alcoholic parents adopted at birth and raised by nonalcoholics is a useful method to study genetic and environmental variables associated with alcoholism later in life. Scandinavian adoption studies, especially, provide a convincing picture of the possible genetic influence of alcoholism.⁶⁷⁻⁷³ In one representative study, male adoptees whose biologic fathers were alcoholic were four times as likely to become alcoholic.⁷¹

Goodwin⁵² has been one of the most active investigators of adopted COAs. From his many studies, he^{51,52,67,68,74} concludes that sons of alcoholic biologic parents adopted at birth were four times more likely to become alcoholics than were sons of normal control fathers. Alcohol problems experienced by adopted sons included early onset of heavy drinking, loss of control,

hallucinations, and treatment for drinking. Significant alcoholism was experienced by adopted sons biologically parented by alcoholics.

Cadore and co-workers⁷⁵ studied 197 adult adoptees (95 male and 102 female) of alcoholic biologic parents. They determined that a genetic factor is present for which alcoholism is a marker and that exerts its effect in women as a gene/environment interaction leading to major depression. McGue and colleagues⁷⁶ studied 653 adopted families, with one adopted child and other siblings (either biologic children or other adoptees), and found that the relationship between parental problem drinking, family functioning, and adolescent alcohol involvement was moderate and significant among birth offspring, not among adoptive offspring.

Cutrona and associates⁷⁷ studied both male and female adoptees and describes that for female adoptees, both early life family conflict and psychopathology in the adoptive family interacted with genetic factors to increase the women's risk for alcohol abuse or dependence. This was not true for the male adoptees studied.

Gender Differences

In the literature, gender differences in risk for substance abuse are shown.^{65,77,78} Hill^{79,80} describes the recent literature on the genetic mediation of alcoholism in women. Moskaleiko and co-workers⁸¹ compared female to male alcoholic inpatients and found that women were more likely to have an alcoholic father and/or an alcoholic spouse. Orford and Velleman⁸² studied 169 16- to 35-year-old offspring of alcoholics and found that women who had a positive relationship with an alcoholic father were at greater risk for alcohol or other drug use ([Table 1](#)).

Biologic Studies

Biologic mechanisms that differentiate COAs from children of nonalcoholics involve several different physiologic systems.⁸³ Compared with children of nonalcoholics, COAs differ on EEG findings,⁸⁴ event-related potentials (ERPs),⁸⁵ and endocrine deviations.⁵⁶ Begleiter and colleagues⁸⁵ showed that the P3 component of the ERP, an electrophysiologic measure of cognitive processing, is decreased significantly in COAs compared with children of nonalcoholics. This line of research promises to be instrumental in the future identification of biologic markers for alcoholism. However, the research has not yet successfully identified a premorbid biologic pattern to predict those who become alcoholic from those who do not.

Psychobiologic research is still in the early stages of development, and many studies are subject to methodologic limitations. Few findings have been replicated to the extent that conclusions can be regarded as definitive, especially when applied in cultural settings or age groups that are different from those used in the research sample. Sufficient research has been conducted; however, pieces of the puzzle still are missing to suggest a preliminary picture of biologically transmitted vulnerability toward alcoholism in COAs.

Results of these studies indicate that COAs react differently to alcohol or other drugs because of differences in biochemical transmission. Researchers have long hypothesized that COAs may suffer chemical imbalances that make them prone to substance-abusing behaviors. Alcohol and

other drugs may provide increased beneficial and pleasurable effects in COAs that are not experienced by children of nonalcoholics. This could provide stronger reinforcement for continued drinking among COAs. Research has demonstrated that COAs have higher levels of blood acetaldehyde and increased feelings of pleasure and relaxation from alcohol ingestion⁵⁴; increased elation and/or decreased muscle tension in response to alcohol ingestion⁸⁶; decreased feelings of intoxication at the same blood alcohol levels, compared with children of nonalcoholics⁸⁷, and a possible serotonergic deficiency or an exaggerated level of serotonin when ingesting alcohol.⁸⁸

Temperament Variables

Tarter⁸⁹ and Rowe and Plomin⁹⁰ examined temperament in COAs as a possible precursor to subsequent alcohol or other drug abuse. Kumpfer⁹¹ cautions against overgeneralization of this research by suggesting that these temperament traits may only describe a subset of children who have inherited one of the major vulnerability syndromes associated with noncompliant, antisocial, and/or hyperactive behavior. Indeed, the findings of many of these studies may have been affected significantly by sample selection and lack of appropriate controls. Some studies report hyperactivity among children of substance abusers.⁹²⁻¹⁰² Many of these studies identify significant, but rather weak, linkages between hyperactivity and familial alcoholism. In addition, some support for the genetic transmission of hyperactivity has been established, which confuses causal assumptions.⁹⁵ Other studies report: 1) decreased ability in COAs to return to emotional normality after emotional distress¹⁰¹; 2) increased aggressive behavior (or decreased social inhibitions) among COAs^{96,97,102,103}; and 3) increased tendency to be “hot tempered,”⁶⁸ with decreased emotional control, low frustration tolerance, and increased moodiness and depression in COAs.¹⁰⁴

Neurophysiologic Studies

In reviewing the electrophysiologic research in alcoholism, Porjesz and Begleiter¹⁰⁵ reported that the most consistent finding was the diminished P300 amplitude of the ERP seen in family history-positive men. Results of recent neurophysiologic studies in alcoholism listed in [Table 1](#) are briefly summarized. Polich and colleagues¹⁰⁶ found smaller P300 amplitudes in family history-positive males. Steinhauer and Hill¹⁰⁷ also found decreased P300 amplitude, especially in high-risk, older males. Hill⁸¹ followed high-risk individuals over an 8-year period and demonstrated continued differences in the high-risk individuals when they were compared with a low-risk group. The ERPs of children who were both high-risk and abusing alcohol were different from those of the other nonalcohol-abusing high-risk and low-risk groups. When the ERPs of alcoholic men, their high-risk relatives, and low-risk male controls were compared, no differences were found in the P300 component during auditory tasks. One ERP study looked at boys exposed to opiates in utero¹⁰⁸ and found that the P200 component of the ERP was decreased similarly in these two groups, as opposed to the control group, suggesting an environmental influence on a neurophysiologic process.

Biologic Marker Studies

A few studies have investigated potential biologic markers. These include studies of various neurotransmitters (GABA, metabolites of dopamine), salivary cortisol, cardiovascular responsiveness, and motor activity. Eskay and Linnoila¹⁰⁹ reviewed the literature ([Table 1](#)). This field of research may be promising; however, the findings have not been replicated or confirmed to any convincing degree.

Psychosocial Research

Psychosocial studies have examined a wide range of variables associated with psychological and environmental characteristics of COAs. In this section, we will review the research involving family studies (including family violence), cognition, affect and behavior, medical problems, and physical health.

Family Studies

Transmission of alcoholism in family members involves many different factors.^{30,110,111} Parental alcoholism disrupts family life and contributes to dysfunction in the offspring, which, in turn, could affect adolescent substance abuse.⁴⁰

One important area of research examines family rituals, ie, dinners, holidays, or vacations.^{112,113} Bennett and colleagues showed that the degree of organization and disruption in the alcoholic family would distinguish the differential well-being of adult COAs.^{111,114,115} Family ritual disruption is significantly associated with differential transmission of alcoholism. Maintaining family rituals during periods of heavy parental drinking results in fewer transmittable cases of alcoholism compared with those families that alter their rituals. Ritual stability in alcoholic families during childhood and adolescence appear to influence later alcoholism. Thus, those families showing more stability also evidence less alcoholism in adult COAs.

Alcoholic families report higher levels of conflict than do nonalcoholic families.¹¹⁶ Drinking is the primary factor in family disruption. The environment of COAs has been characterized by a lack of parenting, poor home management, and a lack of family communication skills, thereby effectively robbing COAs of modeling or training on parenting skills or family effectiveness.¹¹⁷ The following family problems have been frequently associated with alcoholic families: increased family conflict; emotional or physical violence; decreased family cohesion; decreased family organization; increased family isolation; increased family stress including work problems, illness, marital strain, and financial problems; and frequent family moves.^{28,29,115,118-121}

Substance-abusing parents often lack the ability to provide structure or discipline in family life, but simultaneously expect their children to be competent at a wide variety of tasks earlier than do nonsubstance-abusing parents.^{119,120} Unable to do everything perfectly all the time, children in these families may perceive themselves as failures. Young COAs are negatively effected when the significant caregiver in the family (usually the mother) is heavily involved in alcohol or other drug abuse; the child is still young; the family becomes significantly involved in the abuse problem; the family becomes socially isolated; or there is a lack of an extended family to provide balance and encouragement to the child.^{119,120}

Family Violence

With respect to the overall negative impact of parental drinking, family violence has been one area that has received considerable attention. According to Sher,³³ although clinical reports often indicate a strong connection between parental alcoholism and family violence, the empirical data give a highly inconsistent picture. Family violence cannot be related conclusively only to parental alcoholism. Studies focusing first on family violence and second on incidence of parental alcoholism (as well as those reflecting on the dynamics of alcoholic families and subsequent assessment of family violence) both have resulted in highly inconsistent rates of reported spousal and child abuse. Mayer and Black¹²² report extremely wide-ranging rates (2%–62%) of alcoholism among parents who abuse their children. Sher³³ found that the reported rate of child abuse among alcoholic parents varied between 0% and 92%. In studies of COAs, widespread beliefs on the association between parental alcoholism and family violence may precede any conclusive research.

Although contradictory conclusions emerge from a review of the literature, some studies find significant relationships between living in an alcoholic home and physical child abuse cases.^{122,123} However, Orme and Rimmer¹²⁴ found no relationship between child abuse and living in a home where at least one parent was alcoholic. Although data are sparse, a slight relationship exists between acts of incest in alcoholic parents and their children.^{125,126} The typical family model of an incest victim is that of a chronically depressed mother, an alcoholic and violent father, and an elder daughter forced to assume maternal roles in the family.

Cognition

Lowered academic functioning in COAs has been reported by several researchers.^{53,97,127} Some data, however, do not agree with these findings.¹²⁸ COAs, partially because of the lack of parental supervision, are typically characterized as having both social and academic problems at school. Kumpfer and deMarsh¹¹⁹ report that these children frequently are absent or tardy and poorly clothed and fed, and receive less help from parents with their schoolwork. Lowered levels of intellectual functioning in COAs have been reported by some researchers,^{34,92,129,130} but not by others.^{131–133}

Many researchers offer different explanations for the inconsistencies found in the literature. Tarter and co-workers¹³⁴ suggested recently that an anterior cerebral dysfunction was responsible for the observed cognitive deficits in COAs, implicating a possible biologic basis for the observed cognitive differences in them. After examining perceptions of cognitive competence and actual cognitive performance, Johnson and Rolf¹³⁵ suggested that the observed negative perceptions of cognitive competence in COAs may effect the motivation to perform at an optimal level. Werner's¹³⁶ research shows that cognitive deficits may not characterize COAs as a group. Her longitudinal study on the island of Kauai compared a subgroup of COAs with problems (eg, repeated or serious delinquencies, mental health problems requiring treatment) to COAs without problems. She showed that COAs with problems scored lower on verbal and quantitative cognitive measures. Werner suggested that only a subgroup of COAs were at risk for cognitive deficits.

Affect and Behavior

Research shows that COAs have more adjustment problems in home, health, social, and emotional domains,¹³⁷ but these problems do not always meet clinical diagnostic levels. In a study conducted by Nylander and Rydelius,¹³⁸ COAs raised in low socioeconomic environments were compared with children raised in high socioeconomic environments. Both groups were found to be more inclined than children of nonalcoholic biologic fathers to develop social maladjustment problems and addictions later in life. Furthermore, children from lower-class families showed no significantly increased inclination for addiction compared with the group of children from higher socioeconomic status groups, thus indicating that it was parental alcoholism, rather than socioeconomic status, that contributed to the child's behavioral problems.

Earls and colleagues¹³⁹ reported recently on the frequency of psychopathology in COAs. Results from extensive structured interviews with 75 children 6 through 17 years of age showed that these children were diagnosed more frequently with a behavioral disorder, an attention-deficit disorder with hyperactivity, an oppositional disorder, or a conduct disorder. An earlier study corroborates this finding showing that COAs present more frequently with behavioral problems similar to those behaviors associated with these psychiatric disorders.¹⁴⁰ Mutzell¹⁴¹ has also published similar findings. Others, however, do not support this contention.⁵⁹ These authors demonstrated that parents diagnosed with substance abuse do not necessarily impart maladjustments in physical or mental health to their children.

Wolin and associates¹¹⁴ provide convincing data showing that children from intact (eg, 2-parent) alcoholic families function less successfully on aggregate measures of emotional and behavioral functioning than do children from intact nonalcoholic families. They compared a homogeneous sample of 64 COAs to 80 children of nonalcoholics on an extensive psychosocial battery that included measures of self-concept, behavior problems, and psychiatric symptomatology. COAs scored significantly lower on 6 of the 13 measures of behavioral and emotional functioning.

Other research illustrative of the findings of behavioral problems in COAs shows:

- Lack of awareness of the perceived impression of one's behavior on others, lack of insight into personal relations, and lack of empathy for other persons¹⁴²;
- Decreased social adequacy and interpersonal adaptability^{34,96};
- Increased levels of anxiety and depression, low self-esteem, and lack of control over the environment.^{34,96,116,131,133,135,143-146} All researchers but Tarter and colleagues¹³³ found a positive relationship between parental alcoholism and impaired emotional development in children;
- More diagnostic disorders among COAs that reach clinical levels¹⁴⁷;
- Higher rates of oppositional and conduct disorders, but not of attention deficit disorders¹⁴⁸;
- A tendency to engage in more delinquent behavior, compared with controls.¹⁰ These findings are not consistent; Hill and Muka¹⁴⁹ and Hill and Hruska¹⁵⁰ found no differences between the two groups.

Medical Problems and Physical Health

Recent research has examined the medical and physical health problems in children of substance-abusing parents. Woodside and associates¹⁵¹ found that COAs spent more days in the hospital, incurred greater hospital charges, and were more susceptible to specific illnesses such as mental illness, substance abuse, injuries, and poisonings. These problems, however, do not always differentiate COAs from normal controls. For example, Dobkin et al¹⁵² found that COAs were not sicker than were children of nonalcoholics. There were subgroup differences that showed that daughters of alcoholics and sons of nonalcoholics living in nonintact families were more likely to have used psychologic services, similar to sons of alcoholics in intact families.

[Previous Section](#)[Next Section](#)

CHILDREN OF OTHER DRUG-ABUSING PARENTS

The literature on COAs far outweighs the literature on children of other drug abusers. Relatively little is known about children of heroin addicts, cocaine abusers, or polydrug abusers.^{153,154} Many researchers, nonetheless, suggest that the children of addicted parents are at greater risk for later dysfunctional behaviors and that they, too, deserve significant attention to prevent intergenerational transmission of drug abuse later in life.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁶⁰ Children of substance-abusing parents are at great risk for behavioral problems and physiologic damage when exposed in utero to their mother's drug addiction. Some of these problems may last well through maturation. We currently lack the necessary longitudinal data allowing any firm conclusions about the long-term effects of parental substance abuse. Even if children are not exposed to chemicals in utero, they are at greater risk for childhood behavioral problems if their parents are involved in the drug culture. Most research on children of other drug abusers examines fetal exposure to maternal drug abuse. The following section reviews the published literature on this topic. We have categorized this section into family studies/heritability, fetal exposure, and psychosocial risk factors.

Family Studies/Heritability

Family history variables are considered one of the leading risk factors contributing to substance-abuse behavior.⁹¹ Croughan¹⁶³ summarized the brief literature on family studies of drug abuse by concluding that family factors play a major role in substance use and abuse habits. Parents' and adolescents' use of illicit substances is strongly correlated. Adolescents who use drugs are more likely to have one or more parents who also use drugs.^{8,11162-165} Parental attitudes about their children's drug-taking behaviors may be as important as actual drug abuse among the parents.¹⁶⁶ If adolescents perceive their parents are permissive about drug use, then they will be more likely to use drugs themselves.

As with studies on alcoholism, researchers now suggest that genetics may play a role in drug use and abuse.⁷² Two recent studies dispute this. Kosten et al⁷⁸ studied opioid addicts and found gender differences in drug versus alcohol transmission. Women required genetic loading to become alcohol-dependent. They also found that the transmission of drug use compared with the transmission of alcohol was specific for women and not for men. In another study, Smith and Frawley¹⁶⁷ found increased rates of alcohol abuse/dependence in relatives of nonalcohol-abusing,

cocaine-dependent patients, suggesting a more general genetic inheritance for addiction, rather than for abuse/dependence, of a specific substance.

A molecular genetic study was reported in the literature. Noble et al¹⁶⁸ studied the allelic prevalence of the D2 dopamine receptor (DRD2) gene in cocaine-dependent male subjects. They found a significantly higher prevalence of both the A1 and B1 alleles of the DRD2 gene in these subjects, compared with community samples and with nonsubstance-abusing subjects. They postulate that perhaps a gene, located in the q22–a23 region of chromosome 11, confers susceptibility to cocaine dependence.

Fetal Exposure

Because most drugs cross the placenta, pregnant addicts risk passive drug dependency in their fetus.¹⁶⁹ Fifty-eight percent^{10 000} of the 17 000 heroin-addicted women entering National Institute on Drug Abuse-funded drug treatment programs have children living with them.¹⁷⁰ Prenatal drug withdrawal, caused by a pregnant woman's withdrawal, can inhibit fetal oxygen consumption, resulting in hypoxia or death. Postnatal drug withdrawal is characterized by the neonatal abstinence syndrome that includes hyperirritability, tremors, gastrointestinal dysfunction, respiratory distress, and amorphous autonomic system problems. Infants of heroin addicts or methadone-maintained (MM) mothers exhibit more tension, activity, and poorer coordination than their age-matched peers.^{171–175} Cocaine abuse during pregnancy is a significant predictor of low birth weight and gestational age.¹⁷⁶

Infants of drug addicts also are at risk for a variety of other problems. Child abuse or neglect is a significant concern for these infants.¹⁷⁵ Infants of drug-addicted women also are at risk for HIV infection.

Psychosocial Risk Factors

The scarcity of research on school children of heroin-addicted parents is discussed in a literature review by Hayford and associates.¹⁷⁷ This review includes only 11 studies, 10 of which are about infants. The few clinical reports available describe psychologic and social problems for the children of addicted parents.^{156,159} Bauman and Levine¹⁷⁸ compared preschool children of MM mothers to children of nondrug-addicted mothers. On an extensive battery that included tests of intelligence and personality, they showed that children of MM mothers were more impulsive, immature, and irresponsible. Furthermore, children of MM mothers performed more poorly on intelligence tests. Sowder and Burt¹⁷⁹ also report decreased IQ scores among 3- to 7-year-old children of MM mothers.

Studies of school children of addicted parents are compromised by the possibility of fetal exposure to heroin. Distinguishing environmental from genetic effects is difficult when the child may have been contaminated in utero by the mother's substance abuse. Wilson and colleagues^{180,181} reported behavioral disturbances in heroin-exposed children 12 to 24 months of age. Sardemann and colleagues¹⁸² found delayed language development in heroin-exposed children 24 to 32 months of age. Learning problems and behavioral disturbances in 33 children of addicted parents also have been reported.¹⁵⁹

Questions about differences in personality, psychosocial competence, and affect in children of addicted parents remain unanswered. Wilson and colleagues¹⁸⁰ compared four groups of children on a comprehensive psychologic assessment battery. These four groups, each with 77 children between 3 and 6 years of age, were 1) exposed to heroin in utero; 2) not exposed to heroin in utero, but their mothers were involved in the drug culture (either through marriage to an addict or through substance abuse subsequent to the birth of the child); 3) a high-risk comparison group (birth complications attributable to medical problems); or 4) a socioeconomic comparison group. The extensive assessment battery included perinatal measures, a physical examination, social and environmental information, parent's reports, psychometric measures (primarily measures of intelligence), sensorimotor tests, and behavioral measures. Not surprisingly, the heroin-exposed group scored lower than all other groups on physical, intellectual, sensorimotor, and behavioral measures. The children whose mothers were actively involved in the drug culture scored slightly higher than did the heroin-exposed group, but significantly lower than the two comparison groups.

[Previous Section](#)[Next Section](#)

CONCLUSIONS

This overview of the research on children of substance abusers points toward the need for better, longitudinal research in this area. Most studies on COAs or other drug abusers are not longitudinal; they examine behavior at one point in time. Given the studies reviewed in this article, it is unclear whether we see true deficits, or developmental delay. Longitudinal studies will allow us to predict when early disorders and behavioral deviations will be transient or when they will be precursors to more severe types of maladaptive behavior. Longitudinal research also will enable us to explain specific childhood outcomes. Differences in outcome could be studied simultaneously to understand whether antecedents discovered for one are specific to it or are general antecedents leading to a broad variety of outcomes.

In 1974, Anthony¹⁸³ suggested the possibility that there were different groups of children of substance abusers and that all children of substance abusers could not be considered a single, unitary entity. Similar experiences affect children differently because of individual differences in factors such as temperament, intelligence, and environmental resources. Therefore, every summary of children of substance abusers should take into account that there is most probably no single profile of children of substance abusers.

Most importantly, however, there actually may be subgroups of children of substance abusers who, despite all odds, do enjoy good health from birth; experience a positive environment at home; and develop rather normally into socialized, competent, and self-confident individuals. Certain individuals may be more competent in adapting to stressful living environments than are others. This is what many have referred to as the resilient individual. Such a child is able to compensate for and cope with the various negative biologic or environmental influences in his/her life. Certain individuals may be able to manipulate their environment by choosing roles and goals in life that stabilize their developmental process and bring them the positive reinforcement they need to develop a positive self-image and eventually a relatively healthy life. Other individuals may be able to master the environment and to conceptualize the environment

in such a way as to choose positive behaviors in life that compensate for whatever problems are present. Garmezy^{184,185} posits that resilient characteristics include effectiveness in play behavior, work behavior, and love relationships; self-esteem; self-discipline; and the ability to think abstractly. Some evidence from Miller and Jang¹⁸⁶ bears witness to this. In their 20-year study of children from lower-class multiproblem urban families, they found that parents' alcoholism was related to increased problems during childhood and an increased probability that the child of an alcoholic would develop drinking problems later in life. The greater the degree of parental alcoholism, the greater the negative influence on the children of the family. However, they also found that although parental alcoholism might contribute to problems for their child in later adulthood, predicting intergenerational transmission of alcoholism is impossible. Thus, Anthony's¹⁸³ proposal that children of substance abusers actually may be a complex group of individuals that cannot be described by single, unitary profiles of personality or behavior may prove to be the rule, rather than the exception.

COA =
 children of alcoholics •
FAS =
 fetal alcohol syndrome •
EEG =
 electroencephalography •
MZ =
 monozygotic •
DZ =
 dizygotic •
ERP =
 event-related potential •
DRD2 =
 D2 dopamine receptor (gene) •
MM =
 methadone-maintained •
FHP =
 Family History Positive •
FHN =
 Family History Negative •
HVA =
 homovanillic acid •
DBH =
 dopamine- β -hydroxylase

[Previous Section](#)

REFERENCES

1. [↵](#)

US Dept of Health and Human Services. *Eighth Special Report to the US Congress on Alcohol and Health*. Rockville, MD: US Dept of Health and Human Services; 1993

2. [↵](#)
1. Catalano R,
 2. Hawkins J,
 3. Wells E,
 4. et al.

(1991) *Evaluation of the effectiveness of adolescent drug abuse treatment, assessment of risks for relapse, and promising approaches for relapse prevention*. *Int J Addict*. 25:1085–1140.

[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

3. [↵](#)
1. Coie J,
 2. Watt N,
 3. West S,
 4. et al.

(1993) *The science of prevention: a conceptual framework and some directions for a national research program*. *Am Psychol*. 10:1013–1022.

[Google Scholar](#)

4. [↵](#)
1. Kaplan H,
 2. Johnson R,
 3. Bailey C

(1988) *Explaining adolescent drug use: an elaboration strategy for structural equations modeling*. *Psychology*. 51:142–163.

[Google Scholar](#)

5. [↵](#)
1. Maddahian E,
 2. Newcomb M,
 3. Bentler P

(1988) *Risk factors for substance use: ethnic difference among adolescents*. *J Subst Abuse*. 1:11–23.

[Medline](#)[Google Scholar](#)

6. [↵](#)

1. Chassin L,
2. Pillow DR,
3. Curran PJ,
4. et al.

(1993) *Relation of parental alcoholism to early adolescent substance use: a test of three mediating mechanisms. J Abnorm Psychol. 102:3–19.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

7. [↵](#)

1. Semlitz L,
2. Gold MS

(1986) *Adolescent drug abuse diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Psychol Clinic North Am. 9:455–473.*

[Google Scholar](#)

8. [↵](#)

1. Smart RG,
2. Fejer D

(1972) *Drug use among adolescents and their parents: closing the generation gap in mood modification. J Abnorm Psychol. 79:153–160.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

9. [↵](#)

Windle M. Concepts and issues in COA research. *Alcohol Health and Research World.* Rockville, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; 1997;21:185–191

10. [↵](#)

1. Havey JM,
2. Dodd DK

(1995) *Children of alcoholics, negative life events, and early experimentation with drugs. J School Psychol. 33:305–317.*

[CrossRef](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

11. [↵](#)

1. Annis HM

(1974) *Patterns of intra-familial drug use. Br J Addiction. 69:361–369.*

[CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

12. [↵](#)

1. Coombs RH,
2. Dickson KM

(1981) *Generational continuity in the use of alcohol and other substances: a literature review. Abstr Rev Alcohol Driving. 2:1–7.*

[Google Scholar](#)

13. [↵](#)

Kandel D, Kessler R, Margulies R. Adolescent initiation into stages of drug use: a developmental analysis. In: Kandel D, ed. *Longitudinal Research on Drug Use: Empirical Findings and Methodological Issues*. Washington, DC: Hemisphere–John Wiley; 1978

14. [↵](#)

1. Chassin L,
2. Barrera M

(1993) *Substance use escalation and substance use restraint among adolescent children of alcoholics. Psychol Addict Behav. 7:3–20.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

15. [↵](#)

1. Colder CR,
2. Chassin L

(1993) *The stress and negative affect model of adolescent alcohol use and the moderating effects of behavioral undercontrol. J Stud Alcohol. 54:326–333.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

16. [↵](#)

1. Swain R

(1991) *Childhood risk factors and adolescent drug and alcohol abuse. Educ Psychol Rev. 3:363–398.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

17. [↵](#)

1. Cadoret RJ,
2. Yates WR,
3. Troughton E,
4. et al.

(1986) *An adoption study of drug abuse/dependency in females. Comp Psychol.* 37:88–94.

[Google Scholar](#)

18. [↵](#)

Children of Alcoholics Foundation. *Report of the Conference on Research Needs and Opportunities for Children of Alcoholics.* New York, NY: Children of Alcoholics Foundation; 1984

19. [↵](#)

1. Gutzke DW

(1984) *The cry of the children: the Edwardian medical campaign against maternal drinking. Br J Addiction.* 79:71–84.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

20. [↵](#)

Keynes JM. Influence of parental alcoholism. *J Royal Stat Soc.* 1910;-1911:74:339–345

21. [↵](#)

1. MacNicholl TA

(1905) *A study of the effects of alcohol on school children. Q J Inebriety.* 27:113–117.

[Google Scholar](#)

22. [↵](#)

1. Sullivan WC,
2. Scholar S

(1899) *A note on the influence of maternal inebriety on the offspring. J Ment Sci.* 45:489–503.

[Google Scholar](#)

23. [↵](#)

Elderton EM, Pearson K. A first study of the influence of parental alcoholism on the physique and intelligence of the offspring. *Eugenics Laboratory Memoir Series*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1910:1–46

24. [↵](#)

Pearson K. Supplement to the Memoir entitled: the influence of parental alcoholism on the physique and ability of the offspring. A reply to the Cambridge Economists. *Questions of the Day and of the Fray*. No I; pp 1–3. London, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1910

25. [↵](#)

Pearson K, Elderton EM. A second study of the influence of parental alcoholism on the physique and intelligence of the offspring. *Eugenics Laboratory Memoir Series XIII*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1910:1–35

26. [↵](#)

1. Chafetz ME,
2. Blane HT,
3. Hill MJ

(1967) *Children of alcoholics: observations in a child guidance clinic. Q J Studies Alcohol.* 32:687–698.

[Google Scholar](#)

27. [↵](#)

1. Corder BF,
2. McRee C,
3. Rohrer H

(1984) *Brief review of literature on daughters of alcoholic fathers. N C J Ment Health.* 10:37–43.

[Google Scholar](#)

28. [↵](#)

1. el Guebaly N,
2. Offord DR

(1977) *The offspring of alcoholics: a critical review. J Psychol.* 134:357–365.

[Google Scholar](#)

29. [↵](#)

1. el Guebaly N,
2. Offord DR

(1979) *On being the offspring of an alcoholic: an update. Alcoholism Clin Exp Res.* 3:148–157.

[MedlineWeb of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

30. [↵](#)

1. Jacob T,
2. Favorini A,
3. Meisel S,
4. et al.

(1978) *The spouse, children, and family interactions of the alcoholic: substantive findings and methodological issues. J Stud Alcohol.* 39:1231–1251.

[MedlineWeb of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

31. [↵](#)

1. Plant MA,
2. Orford J,
3. Grant M

(1989) *The effects on children and adolescents of parents' excessive drinking: an international review. Public Health Rec.* 104:433–442.

[Google Scholar](#)

32. [↵](#)

Russell M, Henderson C, Blume S. *Children of Alcoholics: A Review of the Literature.* New York, NY: Children of Alcoholics Foundation, Inc; 1985

33. [↵](#)

Sher KJ. *Children of Alcoholics: A Critical Appraisal of Theory and Research.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1991

34. [↵](#)

1. Steinhausen H,
2. Gobel D,
3. Nestler V

(1984) *Psychopathology in the offspring of alcoholic parents. J Am Acad Child Psychol.* 23:465–471.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

35. [↵](#)

1. von-Knorring AL

(1991) *Children and alcoholics. J Child Psych Psychiatry Allied Disciplines.* 32:411–421.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

36. [↵](#)

1. Warner RH,
2. Rosett HL

(1975) *The effects of drinking on offspring. J Stud Alcohol.* 36:1395–1420.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

37. [↵](#)

1. Sher KJ

(1997) *Psychological characteristics of children of alcoholics. Alcohol Health Res World.* 21:247–254.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

38. [↵](#)

Windle M, Searles JB. *Children of Alcoholics.* New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1990

39. [↵](#)

1. West MO,
2. Prinz RJ

(1987) *Parental alcoholism and childhood psychopathology. Psychol Bull.* 102:204–218.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

40. [↵](#)

1. Wilson C,
2. Orford J

(1978) *Children of alcoholics: report of a preliminary study and comments on the literature. J Stud Alcohol.* 39:121–142.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

41. [↵](#)

1. Jones KL,
2. Smith DW

(1973) *Recognition of the fetal alcohol syndrome in early infancy. Lancet. 2:999–1001.*

[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

42. [↵](#)

1. Abel EL

(1980) *The fetal alcohol syndrome: behavioral teratology. Psychol Bull. 88:29–50.*

[CrossRef](#)[Google Scholar](#)

43. [↵](#)

1. Abel EL

(1981) *Behavioral teratology of alcohol. Psychol Bull. 90:564–581.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

44. [↵](#)

1. Abel EL

(1982) *Consumption of alcohol during pregnancy: a review of effects on growth and development of offspring. Human Biol. 54:421–453.*

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

45. [↵](#)

1. Ulleland CN

(1972) *The offspring of alcoholic mothers. Ann NY Acad Sci. 197:167–169.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

46. [↵](#)

1. Ouellette EM,
2. Rosett HL,
3. Rossman NP,
4. et al.

(1977) *Adverse effects on offspring of maternal alcohol abuse during pregnancy. N Engl J Med. 297:528–530.*

[Google Scholar](#)

47. [↵](#)

Landesman-Dwyer S, Keller L. Naturalistic Observations of High and Low Risk Newborns. Presented at the meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development; 1977; New Orleans, LA

48. [↵](#)

1. Streissguth A

(1977) *Maternal drinking and the outcome of pregnancy. Am J Orthop Psychol.* 47:422–432.

[Google Scholar](#)

49. [↵](#)

Streissguth A, Martin J, Martin D. Research design and assessment of alcohol consumption during pregnancy. Presented at the meeting of the Society of Research in Child Development; 1977; New Orleans, LA

50. [↵](#)

1. Amark C

(1951) *A study in alcoholism: clinical, social–psychiatric and genetic investigations. Acta Psychol Neurol Scand Suppl.* 70:1–283.

[Google Scholar](#)

51. [↵](#)

Goodwin DW. *Is Alcoholism Hereditary?* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1976

52. [↵](#)

1. Goodwin DW

(1985) *Alcoholism and genetics: the sins of the fathers. Arch Gen Psychol.* 42:171–174.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

53. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit M,
2. Chiles J

(1978) *Family history as a diagnostic aid in two samples of adolescents. J Nerv Ment Dis. 166:165–176.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

54. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit M,
2. Rayses V

(1979) *Ethanol ingestion: differences in blood acetaldehyde concentrations in relatives of alcoholics and controls. Science. 204:54–55.*

[Google Scholar](#)

55. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit MA,
2. Goodwin DA,
3. Winokur G

(1972) *A study of alcoholism in half siblings. Am J Psychol. 128:1132–1136.*

[Google Scholar](#)

56. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit MA,
2. Parker DC,
3. Rossman LR

(1983) *Ethanol-related prolactin responses and risk for alcoholism. Biol Psychol. 18:1153–1159.*

[Google Scholar](#)

57. [↵](#)

Schuckit MA, Li Ti-K, Cloninger CR, et al. Genetics of alcoholism. *Alcoholism: Clin Exp Res. 1985;9:475–492*

58. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit MA

(1994) *A clinical model of genetic influences in alcohol dependence. J Stud Alcohol. 55:5–17.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

59. [↵](#)

1. Tarter RE

(1991) *Developmental behavior—genetic perspective of alcoholism etiology. Rec Dev Alcohol. 9:69–85.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

60. [↵](#)

1. Heath AC,
2. Meyer J,
3. Jardine R,
4. et al.

(1991) *The inheritance of alcohol consumption patterns in a general population twin sample. II. Determinants of consumption frequency and quantity consumed. J Stud Alcohol. 52:425–433.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

61. [↵](#)

1. Wiers RW,
2. Sergeant JA,
3. Gunning WB

(1994) *Psychological mechanisms of enhanced risk of addiction in children of alcoholics: a dual pathway? Acta Paediatr Suppl. 404:9–13.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

62. [↵](#)

Kaj L. *Alcoholism in Twins: Studies on the Etiology and Sequels of Abuse of Alcohol.* Stockholm, Sweden: Almqvist and Wiksell; 1960

63. [↵](#)

1. Johnsson E,
2. Nilsson T

(1968) *Alcohol consumption in monozygotic and dizygotic pairs of twins. Nord Hyg Tidskr. 49:21–25.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

64. [↵](#)

1. Loehlin JC

(1972) *An analysis of alcohol-related questionnaire items from the National Merit Twin Study.* *Ann NY Acad Science.* 197:117–120.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

65. [↵](#)

1. Pickens RW,
2. Svikis DS,
3. McGue M,
4. et al.

(1991) *Heterogeneity in the inheritance of alcoholism.* *Arch Gen Psych.* 48:19–28.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

66. [↵](#)

Partanen J, Bruun K, Markkanen T. *Inheritance of drinking behavior.* New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies; 1966

67. [↵](#)

1. Goodwin DW,
2. Schulsinger F,
3. Hermansen L,
4. et al.

(1973) *Alcohol problems in adoptees raised apart from alcoholic biological parents.* *Arch Gen Psych.* 28:238–243.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

68. [↵](#)

1. Goodwin DW,
2. Schulsinger F,
3. Knop J,
4. Mednick S,
5. Guze SB

(1977) *Alcoholism and depression in adopted–out daughters of alcoholics.* *Arch Gen Psychol.* 34:751–755.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

69. [↵](#)

1. Bohman M

(1978) *Some genetic aspects of alcoholism and criminality: a population of adoptees. Arch Gen Psychol.* 35:269–276.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

70. [↵](#)

1. Cloninger CR,
2. Bohman M,
3. Sigvardsson S

(1981) *Inheritance of alcohol abuse: cross fostering analysis of adopted men. Arch Gen Psychol.* 38:861–868.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

71. [↵](#)

1. Cadoret RJ,
2. Cain CA,
3. Grove WM

(1980) *Development of alcoholism in adoptees raised apart from alcoholic biologic relatives. Arch Gen Psychol.* 78:561–563.

[Google Scholar](#)

72. [↵](#)

1. Cadoret RJ,
2. Troughton E,
3. O'Gorman TW,
4. et al.

(1986) *An adoption study of genetic and environmental factors in drug abuse. Arch Gen Psychol.* 43:1131–1136.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

73. [↵](#)

1. Sigvardsson S,
2. Bohman M,
3. Cloninger R

(1996) *Replication of the Stockholm adoption study of alcoholism. Arch Gen Psychol.* 53:681–687.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

74. [↵](#)

1. Goodwin DW,
2. Schulsinger F,
3. Knop J,
4. et al.

(1979) *Psychopathology in adopted and non-adopted daughters of alcoholics. Arch Gen Psychol. 34:1005–1009.*

[Google Scholar](#)

75. [↵](#)

1. Cadoret RJ,
2. Winokur G,
3. Langbehn D,
4. et al.

(1996) *Depression spectrum disease. I. The role of gene–environment interaction. Am J Psychol. 153:892–899.*

[Google Scholar](#)

76. [↵](#)

1. McGue M,
2. Sharma A,
3. Benson P

(1992) *Parent and sibling influences on adolescent alcohol use and misuse: evidence from a US adoption cohort. J Stud Alcohol. 57:8–18.*

[Google Scholar](#)

77. [↵](#)

1. Cutrona CE,
2. Cadoret RJ,
3. Suhr JA,
4. et al.

(1994) *Interpersonal variables in the prediction of alcoholism among adoptees: evidence for gene–environment interactions. Comp Psychol. 35:171–179.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

78. [↵](#)

1. Kosten TR,
2. Rounsaville BJ,

3. Kosten TA,
4. et al.

(1991) *Gender differences in the specificity of alcoholism transmission among the relatives of opioid addicts. J Nerv Ment Dis. 179:392–400.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

79. [↵](#)

Hill SY. Vulnerability to alcoholism in women, genetic and cultural factors. In: Galanter M, ed. *Recent Developments in Alcoholism. Vol 12. Women and Alcoholism*. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1995

80. [↵](#)

1. Hill SY,
2. Smith TR

(1991) *Evidence for genetic mediation of alcoholism in women. J Subst Abuse. 3:159–174.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

81. [↵](#)

1. Moskalenko D,
2. Vanyukov MM,
3. Solovyova ZV,
4. et al.

(1992) *A genetic study of alcoholism in the Moscow population: preliminary findings. J Stud Alcohol. 53:218–224.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

82. [↵](#)

1. Orford J,
2. Velleman R

(1991) *The environmental intergenerational transmission of alcohol problems: a comparison of two hypotheses. Br J Med Psychol. 64:189–200.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

83. [↵](#)

Cloninger CR, Reich T, Wetzel R. Alcoholism and affective disorders: familial associations and genetic models. In: Goodwin DW, Erickson CK, eds. *Alcoholism and Affective Disorders: Clinical, Genetic, and Biochemical Studies*. New York, NY: SP Medical and Scientific Books; 1979:57–86

84. [↵](#)

1. Gabrielli WF,
2. Mednick SA,
3. Volavka J,
4. et al.

(1982) *Electroencephalograms in children of alcoholic fathers. Psychophysiology.* 19:404–407.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

85. [↵](#)

1. Begleiter H,
2. Porjesz B,
3. Bihari B,
4. et al.

(1984) *Event-related brain potentials in boys at risk for alcoholism. Science.* 225:1493–1496.

[Abstract/FREE Full Text](#)

86. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit MA,
2. Bernstein LI

(1981) *Sleep time and drinking history: a hypothesis. Am J Psychol.* 138:528–530.

[Google Scholar](#)

87. [↵](#)

1. Schuckit MA

(1980) *Self-rating of alcohol intoxication by young men with and without family histories of alcoholism. J Stud Alcohol.* 41:242–249.

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

88. [↵](#)

Myers RD, Melchior CL. Alcohol and alcoholism: role of serotonin. In: Essman WB, ed. *Serotonin in Health and Dis*. Vol 2. New York, NY: Spectrum; 1977:373–430

89. [↵](#)

1. Tarter RE

(1988) *Are there inherited behavioral traits that predispose to substance abuse?* *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 56:189–196.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

90. [↵](#)

1. Rowe D,
2. Plomin R

(1977) *Temperament in early childhood*. *J Perspect Assess*. 41:150–156.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

91. [↵](#)

Kumpfer KL. Special populations: etiology and prevention of vulnerability to chemical dependency in children of substance abusers. In: Brown BS, Mills AR, eds. *Youth at High Risk for Substance Abuse*. Rockville, MD: US Dept of Health and Human Services, DHHS Publication No (ADM)87-1537:1–72; 1987

92. [↵](#)

1. Aronson M,
2. Kyllerman M,
3. Sabel KG,
4. et al.

(1985) *Children of alcoholic mothers: developmental, perceptual and behavioral characteristics as compared to matched controls*. *Acta Paediatrica Scand*. 74:27–35.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

93. [↵](#)

1. Bell B,
2. Cohen R

(1981) *Bristol Social Adjustment Guide: comparison between the offspring of alcoholic and non-alcoholic mothers*. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 20:93–95.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

94. [↵](#)

1. Cantwell DP

(1972) *Psychiatric illness in the families of hyperactive children. Arch Gen Psychol.* 27:414–417.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

95. [↵](#)

Cantwell DP. Genetic studies of hyperactive children: psychiatric illness in biologic and adopting parents. In: Fieve RR, Rosenthal D, Brill H, eds. *Genetic Studies of Hyperactive Children: Psychiatric Illness in Biologic and Adoptive Parents*. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press; 1975

96. [↵](#)

1. Fine EW,
2. Yudin LW,
3. Holmes J,
4. et al.

(1976) *Behavioral disorders in children with parental alcoholism. Ann NY Acad Sci.* 273:507–517.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

97. [↵](#)

1. Knop J,
2. Teasdale T,
3. Schulsinger F,
4. et al.

(1985) *A prospective study of young men at high risk for alcoholism: school behavior and achievement. J Stud Alcohol.* 46:273–278.

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

98. [↵](#)

1. Morrison J

(1980) *Adult psychiatric disorders in parents of hyperactive children. Am J Psychol.* 137:825–827.

[Google Scholar](#)

99. [↵](#)

1. Morrison J,
2. Stewart M

(1973) *The psychiatric status of the legal families of adopted hyperactive children. Arch Gen Psychol.* 28:888–891.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

100. [↵](#)
1. Shaywitz SE,
 2. Cohen DJ,
 3. Shaywitz BA

(1980) *Behavior and learning difficulties in children born to alcoholic mothers. J Pediatr.* 96:978–982.

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

101. [↵](#)
1. Stewart MA,
 2. DeBlois CS,
 3. Cummings C

(1980) *Psychiatric disorder in the parents of hyperactive boys and those with conduct disorders. J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 21:283–292.

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

102. [↵](#)
1. Rosenberg CM

(1969) *Young drug addicts: background and personality. J Nerv Ment Dis.* 148:66–73.

[Google Scholar](#)

103. [↵](#)

Block J. *Lives Through Time*. Berkeley, CA: Bancroft Books; 1971

104. [↵](#)
1. Aronson H,
 2. Gilbert A

(1963) *Preadolescent sons of male alcoholics: an experimental study of personality patterning. Alcohol Abuse.* 8:589–603.

[Google Scholar](#)

105. [↵](#)
1. Porjesz B,
 2. Begleiter H

(1991) *Neurophysiological factors in individuals at risk for alcoholism. Recent Dev Alcohol. 9:53–67.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

106. [↵](#)
1. Polich J,
 2. Pollock VE,
 3. Bloom FE

(1994) *Meta-analysis of P300 amplitude from males at risk for alcoholism. Psychol Bull. 115:55–73.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

107. [↵](#)
1. Steinhauer SR,
 2. Hill SY

(1993) *Auditory event-related potentials in children at high risk for alcoholism. J Stud Alcohol. 54:408–421.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

108. [↵](#)
1. Guo X,
 2. Spencer JW,
 3. Suess PE,
 4. et al.

(1994) *Cognitive brain potential alterations in boys exposed to opiates: in utero and lifestyle comparisons. Addict Behav. 19:429–441.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

109. [↵](#)
1. Eskay R,
 2. Linnoila M

(1991) *Potential biochemical markers for the predisposition toward alcoholism. Rec Dev Alcohol. 9:41–51.*

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

110. [↵](#)

Jacob T, Seilhamer RA. Alcoholism and family interaction. In: Jacob T, ed. *Family Interaction and Psychopathology: Theories, Methods, and Findings*. New York, NY: Plenum Publishing Corporation; 1987

111. [↵](#)

Steinglass P, Bennett LA, Wolin SJ, et al. *The Alcoholic Family*. New York, NY: Basic Books, Inc; 1987

112. [↵](#)

1. Wolin SJ,
2. Bennett LA,
3. Noonan DL

(1979) *Family rituals and the recurrence of alcoholism over generations. Am J Psychol. 136:589–593.*

[Google Scholar](#)

113. [↵](#)

Bennett LA, Wolin SJ. Daughters and sons of alcoholics: developmental paths in transmission. *Alcoholism: J Alcohol Related Addict. 1986;22:3–15*

114. [↵](#)

1. Bennett LA,
2. Wolin SJ,
3. Reiss D,
4. et al.

(1987) *Couples at risk for transmission of alcoholism: protective influences. Fam Process. 26:111–129.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

115. [↵](#)

1. Wolin SJ,
2. Bennett LA,
3. Noonan DL,

4. et al.

(1980) *Disrupted family rituals: a factor in the intergenerational transmission of alcoholism. J Stud Alcohol. 41:199–214.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

116. [↵](#)
1. Moos RH,
2. Billings AG

(1982) *Children of alcoholics during the recovery process: alcoholic and matched control families. Addict Behav. 7:155–164.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

117. [↵](#)
1. Patterson GR,
2. Stouthamer-Loeber M

(1984) *The correlation of family management practices and delinquency. Child Dev. 33:1299–1307.*

[Google Scholar](#)

118. [↵](#)
Jesse RC. *Children of Alcoholics: A Clinical Investigation of Family Role Relationships*. San Diego, CA: California School of Professional Psychology; 1977. PhD dissertation

119. [↵](#)
Kumpfer KL, de Marsh J. Family-oriented interventions for the prevention of chemical dependency in children and adolescents. In: Ezekoye S, Kumpfer K, Bukoski W, eds. *Childhood and Chemical Abuse. Prevention and Intervention*. New York, NY:: Haworth Press; 1986

120. [↵](#)
Kumpfer KL, de Marsh J. Prevention strategies for children of drug-abusing parents. Calgary, Alberta: Proceedings 34th Annual International Congress on Alcoholism and Drug Dependence; 1986

121. [↵](#)
1. Vaillant GE,
2. Milofsky E

(1982) *Natural history of male alcoholism. IV. Paths to recovery. Arch Gen Psychol.* 39:127–133.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

122. [↵](#)

Mayer J, Black R. The relationship between alcoholism and child abuse and neglect. In Seixas F, ed. *Currents in Alcoholism*. Vol II. New York, NY: Grune and Stratton; 1977;429–445

123. [↵](#)

1. Behling DW

(1979) *Alcohol abuse as encountered in instances of reported child abuse. Clin Pediatr.* 18:87–91.

[FREE Full Text](#)

124. [↵](#)

1. Orme TC,
2. Rimmer J

(1981) *Alcoholism and child abuse. J Stud Alcohol.* 42:273–287.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

125. [↵](#)

1. Jones MC

(1971) *Personality antecedents and correlates of drinking patterns in women. J Consult Clin Psychol.* 36:61–69.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

126. [↵](#)

1. Browning DH,
2. Boatman B

(1977) *Incest: children at risk. Am J Psychol.* 134:69–72.

[Google Scholar](#)

127. [↵](#)

1. Hughes JM

(1977) *Adolescent children of alcoholic parents and the relationship of Alateen to these children. J Consult Clin Psychol. 45:946–947.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

128. [↵](#)
1. Rimmer J

(1982) *The children of alcoholics: an exploratory study. Child Youth Serv Res. 4:365–373.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

129. [↵](#)

Ervin C, Little R, Streissguth A, Beck D. Alcoholic fathering and its relation to child's intellectual development: a pilot investigation. *Alcoholism: Clin Exp Res. 1984;8:362–365*

130. [↵](#)
1. Gabrielli W,
2. Mednick S

(1983) *Intellectual performance in children of alcoholics. J Nerv Ment Dis. 171:444–447.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

131. [↵](#)
1. Herjanic B,
2. Herjanic M,
3. Wetzel R,
4. et al.

(1978) *Substance abuse: its effects on offspring. Res Commun Psychol Psychiatry Behav. 3:65–75.*

[Google Scholar](#)

132. [↵](#)
1. Kern J,
2. Hassett C,
3. Collipp P,
4. et al.

(1981) *Children of alcoholics: locus of control, mental age, and zinc level. J Psychol Treatment Eval. 3:169–173.*

[Google Scholar](#)

133. [↵](#)

Tarter RE, Hegedus A, Goldstein G, et al. Adolescent sons of alcoholics: neuropsychological and personality characteristics. *Alcoholism: Clin Exp Res.* 1984;8:216–222

134. [↵](#)

Tarter RE, Jacob T, Bremer DA. Cognitive status of sons of alcoholic men. *Alcoholism: Clin Exp Res.* 1989;13:232–235

135. [↵](#)

1. Johnson JL,
2. Rolf JE

(1988) *Cognitive functioning in children from alcoholic and non-alcoholic families. Br J Addiction.* 83:849–857.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

136. [↵](#)

1. Werner EE

(1986) *Resilient offspring of alcoholics: a longitudinal study from birth to age 18. J Stud Alcohol.* 47:34–40.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

137. [↵](#)

1. Kondandaram P

(1995) *Adjustment problems of adolescent children of alcoholics. Indian J Clin Psychol.* 22:58–60.

[Google Scholar](#)

138. [↵](#)

1. Nylander I,
2. Rydelius PA

(1982) *A comparison between children of alcoholic fathers from excellent versus poor social conditions. Acta Paediatrica Scand.* 71:809–813.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

139. [↵](#)
Earls F, Reich W, Jung KG, et al. Psychopathology in children of alcoholic and antisocial parents. *Alcoholism: Clin Exp Res.* 1988;12:481–487

140. [↵](#)
1. Haberman PW
(1966) Childhood symptoms in children of alcoholics and comparison group parents. J Marriage Fam. 28:152–154.

[CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

141. [↵](#)
1. Mutzell S
(1995) Are children of alcoholic mothers more psychologically damaged compared with children of mothers from the general population? Early Child Dev Care. 109:159–173.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

142. [↵](#)
1. Jones MC
(1968) Personality correlates and antecedents of drinking patterns in adult males. J Consult Clin Psychol. 33:2–12.

[Google Scholar](#)

143. [↵](#)
1. Anderson EE,
2. Quast W
(1983) Young children in alcoholic families: a mental health needs-assessment and an intervention prevention strategy. J Primary Prev. 3:174–187.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

144. [↵](#)
1. Herjanic BM,
2. Barredo VH,
3. Herjanic M,
4. et al.
(1979) Children of heroin addicts. Int J Addict. 14:638–641.

[Google Scholar](#)

145. [↵](#)

Herjanic BM, Herjanic M, Penick EC, et al. Children of alcoholics. In: Sexias F, ed. *Currents in Alcoholism*. Vol 2. New York, NY: Grune and Stratton; 1977:445–455

146. [↵](#)

1. Prewett JJ,
2. Spencer R,
3. Chaknis M

(1981) *Attribution of causality by children with alcoholic parents. Int J Addict. 16:367–370.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

147. [↵](#)

1. Escallier-Nicola E,
2. Botwin DE,
3. Tarter RE

(1994) *The influence of psychosocial variables on male adolescents at risk for alcoholism. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse. 3:1–8.*

[Google Scholar](#)

148. [↵](#)

1. Reich W,
2. Earls F,
3. Frankel O,
4. et al.

(1993) *Psychopathology in children of alcoholics. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychol. 32:995–1002.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

149. [↵](#)

1. Hill SY,
2. Muka D

(1994) *Children at risk for alcoholism. J Acad Child Adolesc Psychol. 33:758–759.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

150. [↵](#)
1. Hill SY,
2. Hruska DR

(1992) *Childhood psychopathology in families with multigenerational alcoholism. Special section: substance abuse. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychol. 31:1024–1030.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

151. [↵](#)
1. Woodside M,
2. Coughney K,
3. Cohen R

(1993) *Medical costs of children of alcoholics: pay now or pay later. J Subst Abuse. 5:281–287.*

[CrossRefMedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

152. [↵](#)
1. Dobkin PL,
2. Tremblay RE,
3. Desmarais-Gervais L,
4. et al.

(1994) *Is having an alcoholic father hazardous for children's physical health? Addict. 89:1619–1627.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

153. [↵](#)
Johnson JL, Boney TY, Brown BS. Evidence of depressive symptoms in children of substance abusers. *Int J Addict. 1990–91;25:465–479*

154. [↵](#)
1. Blechman EA

(1982) *Conventional wisdom about familial contributions to substance abuse. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 9:35–53.*

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

155. [↵](#)
1. Carr JN

(1975) *Drug patterns among drug-addicted mothers: incidence, variance in use, and effects in children. Pediatr Ann. 4:408–417.*

[Google Scholar](#)

156. [↵](#)
1. Coppolillo HP

(1975) *Drug impediments to mothering behavior. Addict Dis Int J. 2:201–208.*

[Google Scholar](#)

157. [↵](#)

Kumpfer KL. *Prevention Services for Children of Substance-abusing Parents*. National Institute on Drug Abuse Final Technical Report (R18-DA-02758-101/02 and DA-03888-01); 1987

158. [↵](#)

Mondanaro J. Mothers on methadone—echoes of failure. In: *Proceedings National Drug Abuse Convention*. 1975:41–49

159. [↵](#)
1. Nichtern S

(1973) *The children of drug abusers. J Am Acad Child Psychol. 12:24–31.*

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

160. [↵](#)
1. Singer A

(1974) *Mothering practices and heroin addiction. Am J Nurs. 74:77–82.*

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

161. Croughan JL. The contribution of family studies to understanding drug abuse. In: Robins LN, ed. *Series in Psychosocial Epidemiology. Vol 6. Studying Drug Abuse*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press; 1987:93–116

162. [↵](#)
1. Fawzy FI,
2. Coombs RH,
3. Gerber B

(1983) *Generational continuity in the use of substances: the impact of parental substance use on adolescent substance use. Addict Behav. 8:109–114.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

163. [↵](#)
1. Simcha-Fagan O,
 2. Gersten JC,
 3. Langner TS

(1986) *Early precursors and concurrent correlates of patterns of illicit drug use in adolescence. J Drug Issues. 16:7–28.*

[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

164. [↵](#)
1. Stenmark DE,
 2. Wackwitz JH,
 3. Pelfrey MC,
 4. et al.

(1974) *Substance use among juvenile offenders: relationships to parental substance use and demographic characteristics. J Addict. 1:43–49.*

[Google Scholar](#)

165. [↵](#)
1. Skiffington EW,
 2. Brown PM

(1981) *Personal, home, and school factors related to eleventh graders' drug attitudes. Int J Addict. 16:879–892.*

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

166. [↵](#)
1. Barnes GM,
 2. Windle M

(1987) *Family factors in adolescent alcohol and drug abuse. Drug Alcohol Abuse Child Adolesc Pediatr. 14:13–18.*

[Google Scholar](#)

167. [↵](#)
1. Smith JW,

2. Frawley PJ

(1992) *Alcoholism in relatives of primary cocaine-dependent patients. J Subst Abuse Treatment. 9:153–155.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

168. [↵](#)
1. Noble EP,
 2. Blum K,
 3. Khalsa E,
 4. et al.

(1993) *Allelic association of the D2 dopamine receptor gene with cocaine dependence. Drug Alcohol Depend. 33:271–285.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

169. [↵](#)
1. Calabrese AR,
 2. Gullledge AD

(1985) *The neonatal narcotic abstinence syndrome: a brief review. Can J Psychol. 30:623–626.*

[Google Scholar](#)

170. [↵](#)

Colton ME. A comparison of heroin-addicted and non-addicted mothers: their attitudes, beliefs, and parenting experiences. National Institute on Drug Abuse, Services Research Report. *Heroin-addicted Parents and Their Children: Two Reports*. Washington, DC: DHHS Publ No (Adm); 81-1028; 1980

171. [↵](#)
1. Bernstein V,
 2. Jeremy RJ,
 3. Hans SL,
 4. et al.

(1984) *A longitudinal study of offspring born to methadone-maintained women. II. Dyadic interaction and infant behavior at 4 months. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 10:161–193.*

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

172. [↵](#)
1. Marcus J,
 2. Hans SL,
 3. Jeremy RJ

(1984) A longitudinal study of offspring born to methadone-maintained women. III. Effects of multiple risk factors on development at 4, 8, and 12 months. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 10:195–207.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

173. [↵](#)
1. Jeremy RJ,
 2. Bernstein VJ

(1984) Dyads at risk: methadone-maintained women and their four-month-old infants. Child Dev. 55:1141–1154.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

174. [↵](#)
1. Marcus J,
 2. Hans SL,
 3. Patterson CB,
 4. et al.

(1984) A longitudinal study of offspring born to methadone-maintained women. I. Design, methodology, and description of women's resources for functioning. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 10:135–160.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

175. [↵](#)
1. Regan DO,
 2. Ehrlich SM,
 3. Finnegan LP

(1987) Infants of drug addicts: at risk for child abuse, neglect, and placement in foster care. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 9:315–319.

[CrossRefMedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

176. [↵](#)
1. Chouteau M,
 2. Namerow PB,
 3. Leppert P

(1988) *The effect of cocaine abuse on birth weight and gestational age. Obstet Gynecol.* 72:351–354.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

177. [↵](#)
1. Hayford SM,
 2. Epps RP,
 3. Dahl-Regis M

(1988) *Behavior and development patterns in children born to heroin-addicted and methadone-addicted mothers. J Natl Med Assoc.* 80:1197–1200.

[MedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

178. [↵](#)
1. Bauman P,
 2. Levine SA

(1986) *The development of children of drug addicts. Int J Addict.* 21:849–863.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

179. [↵](#)
- Sowder BJ, Burt M. *Children of Heroin Addicts: An Assessment of Health, Learning, Behavioral, and Adjustment Problems.* New York, NY: Praeger; 1980

180. [↵](#)
1. Wilson GW,
 2. Desmond MM,
 3. Verniaud WM

(1973) *Early development of infants of heroin-addicted mothers. Am J Dis Child.* 126:457–460.

[CrossRefMedlineGoogle Scholar](#)

181. [↵](#)
1. Wilson GS,
 2. McCreary R,
 3. Kean J,
 4. et al.

(1979) *The development of preschool children of heroin-addicted mothers: a controlled study. Pediatrics.* 63:135–141.

[Abstract/FREE Full Text](#)

182. [↵](#)
1. Sardemann H,
 2. Madsen KS,
 3. Friis-Hansen B

(1976) *Follow-up of children of drug-addicted mothers. Arch Dis Child. 51:131–134.*

[Abstract/FREE Full Text](#)

183. [↵](#)

Anthony E. *The Child in His Family. Vol 3. Children at Psychiatric Risk.* New York, NY: Wiley and Sons; 1974

184. [↵](#)
1. Garnezy N

(1974) *Children at risk: the search for the antecedents of schizophrenia. I. Conceptual models and research methods. Schizophr Bull. 1:14–90.*

[FREE Full Text](#)

185. [↵](#)
1. Garnezy N

(1974) *Children at risk: the search for the antecedents of schizophrenia. II. Ongoing research programs, issues, and intervention. Schizophr Bull. 1:55–125.*

[FREE Full Text](#)

186. [↵](#)
1. Miller D,
 2. Jang M

(1977) *Children of alcoholics. Soc Work Res Abstr. 13:23–29.*

[Abstract/FREE Full Text](#)

187. [↵](#)
1. De Jong JA,
 2. Roy A

(1993) *Paternal lineage of alcoholism, cohort effects, and alcoholism criteria. Addiction. 88:623–629.*

[CrossRef](#)[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

188. [↵](#)
1. Hill SY

(1995) *Absence of paternal sociopathy in the etiology of severe alcoholism: is there a type III alcoholism?* *J Stud Alcohol.* 53:161–169.

[Google Scholar](#)

189. [↵](#)

Kendler KS, Neale MC, Heath AC, Kessler RC, Eaves LJ. A twin-family study of alcoholism in women. *Am J Psychol.* 1994;151;5:707–715

190. [↵](#)
1. Prescott CA,
2. Hewitt JK,
3. Truett KR,
4. Heath AC,
5. Neale MC,
6. Eaves LJ

(1994) *Genetic and environmental influences on lifetime alcohol-related problems in a volunteer sample of older twins.* *J Stud Alcohol.* 55:184–202.

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

191. [↵](#)
1. Prescott CA,
2. Hewitt JK,
3. Heath AC,
4. Truett KR,
5. Neale MC,
6. Eaves LJ

(1994) *Environmental and genetic influences on alcohol use in a volunteer sample of older twins.* *J Stud Alcohol.* 55:18–33.

[Medline](#)[Web of Science](#)[Google Scholar](#)

192. [↵](#)
1. Koopsman JR,
2. Boomsma DI

(1996) *Familial resemblance in alcohol use: genetic or cultural transmission? J Stud Alcohol.* 57:19–28.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

193. [↵](#)

Hill SY, Steinhauer S, Locke J. Event-related potentials in alcoholic men, their high-risk male relatives, and low-risk male controls. *Alcohol: Clin Exp Res.* 1995;19:567–576

194. [↵](#)

1. Hill SY,
2. Steinhauer S,
3. Lowers L,
4. Locke J

(1995) *Eight-year longitudinal follow-up of P300 and clinical outcome in children from high-risk for alcoholism families. Biol Psychol.* 37:823–827.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

195. [↵](#)

Hegerl U, Lipperheide K, Juckel G, Schmidt LG, Rommelspacher H. Antisocial tendencies and cortical sensory-evoked responses in alcoholism. *Alcohol: Clin Exp Res.* 1995;19:31–36

196. [↵](#)

Ehlers CL, Havstad JW, Schuckit MA. EEG dimension in sons of alcoholics. *Alcohol: Clin Exp Res.* 1995;19:992–998

197. Cowley DS, Roy-Byrne PP, Greenblatt DJ, Kramer GL, Petty F. Effect of diazepam on plasma τ -aminobutyric acid in sons of alcoholics. *Alcohol: Clin Exp Res.* 1996;20:343–347

198.

1. Gabel S,
2. Stadler J,
3. Bjorn J,
4. Shindlecker R

(1995) *Homovanillic acid and dopamine- β -hydroxylase in male youth: relationships with paternal substance abuse and antisocial behavior. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 21:363–378.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

199.

[↵](#)

1. Moss HB,
2. Vanyukov MM,
3. Martin CS

(1995) *Salivary cortisol responses and the risk for substance abuse in pre-pubertal boys.* *Biol Psychol.* 38:547–555.

[CrossRefGoogle Scholar](#)

200.

[↵](#)

1. Hill SY,
2. Steinhauer SR,
3. Zubin J

(1992) *Cardiac responsibility in individuals at high risk for alcoholism.* *J Stud Alcohol.* 53:378–388.

[MedlineWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar](#)

201.

[↵](#)

Finn PR, Earleywine M, Pihl RO. Sensation seeking, stress reactivity, and alcohol dampening discriminate the density of a family history of alcoholism. *Alcohol: Clin Exp Res.* 1992;16:585–590

202.

[↵](#)

1. Moss HB,
2. Vanyukov MM,
3. Martin CS,
4. Tarter R

(1992) *Heightened motor activity level in male offspring of substance abusing fathers.* *Biol Psychol.* 32:1135–1147.